

Malaysian Youth & Political Socialization: Navigating Democracy, Leadership, and Global Citizenship

Nur Atika Binti Hairi¹, Aida Zulaikha Binti Zulkefly², Aisya Khadijah Binti Mohd³ Hamidi, Zarina binti Basry⁴, Aida Zukrina Zulkefly⁵, Andi Nur Nabilah Syafiqah binti Bacho⁶ & Nur Hidayah binti Razlan⁷

¹*Fakulti Pendidikan dan Sains Sosial, Universiti Selangor (UNISEL), Selangor, Malaysia; Institut Timur Tengah, Universiti Sakarya, Sakarya, Türkiye.*

²*Fakulti Komunikasi, Seni Visual dan Pengkomputeran, Universiti Selangor (UNISEL), Selangor, Malaysia; Fakulti Sastera dan Sains Sosial, Universiti Malaya (UM), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*

³*Fakulti Komunikasi, Seni Visual dan Pengkomputeran, Universiti Selangor (UNISEL), Selangor, Malaysia; Fakulti Pendidikan, Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM), Selangor, Malaysia*

⁴*Fakulti Pendidikan dan Sains Sosial, Universiti Selangor (UNISEL), Selangor, Malaysia; Fakulti Pembangunan Manusia, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSII), Perak, Malaysia*

⁵*Fakulti Komunikasi Media, Universiti DongA, Korea Selatan.*

⁶*Fakulti Pendidikan dan Sains Sosial, Universiti Selangor (UNISEL), Selangor, Malaysia; Fakulti Pembangunan Manusia, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSII), Perak, Malaysia*

⁷*Fakulti Pendidikan dan Sains Sosial, Universiti Selangor (UNISEL), Selangor, Malaysia; Fakulti Pembangunan Manusia, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSII), Perak, Malaysia*

Abstract

This mixed-methods study investigates political socialization among Malaysian youth, analyzing data from the Malaysian Youth Index 2023 (N=5,414) and 12 focus group discussions. Findings reveal a digital paradox where high social media engagement (92%) coexists with low political literacy (5.2/10), exacerbated by algorithmic echo chambers. Significant urban-rural disparities (18-point gap) persist due to unequal access to civic education and digital infrastructure. While digital activism is prevalent (43%), formal political participation remains low (6%). The study proposes a Hybrid Political Socialization Model integrating digital platforms, mentorship programs, and community hubs to enhance youth engagement. Key recommendations include: (1) curriculum reforms emphasizing critical digital literacy, (2) accelerated rural digital development, and (3) institutionalized youth co-creation in policymaking. These findings offer both theoretical insights into digital-era citizenship and practical strategies for strengthening youth political participation in Malaysia's multicultural democracy.

Keywords: Youth political engagement, digital citizenship, political literacy, civic education, Malaysia.

1.0 Introduction

Malaysian youth, comprising 29.2% of the country's population [1], are a crucial asset in driving the nation's democratic transformation. The significant increase in youth participation in democratic processes, particularly in the 15th General Election (GE15), which recorded a 76% youth voter turnout [2], highlights their potential as key agents of political change. However, the Malaysian Youth Index (MYI) 2023 report reveals that the domain of Political Socialization, Citizenship, and Democracy is at Tier 3, indicating an urgent need for intervention [3].

This study adopts a comprehensive approach to analyze the political socialization process among Malaysian youth within the context of digital transformation and the country's socio-cultural diversity. Political socialization refers to the process by which individuals acquire political values, attitudes, and behaviors through interactions with various social institutions such as family, the education system, media, and peer groups [4]. In Malaysia, this process has grown increasingly complex with the rise of digital media as a dominant agent of political socialization. Current developments reveal an intriguing paradox - while Malaysian youth show progress in the Global Youth Development Index (0.802 in

2023) [5], their engagement in formal democratic processes continues to decline. This situation raises critical questions about the effectiveness of existing political socialization mechanisms in fostering active and responsible citizens.

This study is particularly significant as it is conducted during a period of rapid political transformation in Malaysia, aligning with the implementation of the National Youth Policy 2015-2035 [6] and the 12th Malaysia Plan [7], which emphasize youth human capital development. The findings are expected to contribute substantially to the formulation of more effective youth policies in the future.

2.0 Materials and Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods design grounded in pragmatist epistemology [8] and political socialization theory [9], which together justify the integration of quantitative and qualitative approaches. The pragmatist paradigm prioritizes problem-centered research and actionable insights, while political socialization frameworks emphasize the need to examine both structural (e.g., education, media systems) and experiential (e.g., peer interactions) influences on youth engagement.

2.1 Quantitative Component

Analysis of secondary data from the Malaysian Youth Index (MYI) 2023 (N=5,414; ages 15–30) (Ministry of Youth and Sports, 2023) used stratified sampling to capture Malaysia's demographic diversity, including: Geographic distribution (14 states and federal territories), Urban-rural residency (52% urban, 48% rural), Ethnic composition (Bumiputera 62%, Chinese 22%, Indian 10%, other 6%), Socioeconomic status (education level, income brackets).

Data were analyzed using SPSS 28 to:

Measure prevalence of key behaviors (e.g., 92% social media engagement vs. 6% formal political participation) and identify correlations (e.g., between digital literacy and political engagement via regression analysis).

2.2 Qualitative Component

Twelve Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were conducted with 60 participants, purposively selected to ensure:

- Age distribution: 20 participants aged 15–20, 20 aged 21–25, 20 aged 26–30,
- Gender balance: 30 male, 30 female,
- Political interest: Screened to include equal representation of low/moderate/high self-reported engagement,
- Education diversity: Secondary school (n=20), university (n=30), vocational training (n=10).

FGDs employed a semi-structured protocol covering six socialization domains (media, family, education, peers, civic participation, institutional trust). Sessions were conducted hybrid (online/physical), audio-recorded, and transcribed verbatim. Thematic analysis followed Braun Clarke's

(2006) framework, with reflexivity memos documenting researcher assumptions (e.g., challenges interpreting colloquial political slang).

2.3 Integration and Validation

1. Integration matrices mapped quantitative trends (e.g., 18-point urban-rural participation gap) to qualitative themes (e.g., rural youth describing limited civic education opportunities).
2. Peer debriefing: Three independent experts in political sociology reviewed coding frameworks and regression models to challenge interpretations.
3. Audit trail: A doctoral candidate unaffiliated with the study verified analysis steps for consistency.
4. Member checking: Participants received summaries to confirm accuracy of their responses.

2.4 Limitations and Transferability

While findings are delimited to Malaysian youth (15–30), the Hybrid Political Socialization Model may inform similar multicultural contexts with digital divides. Generalizability is constrained by self-report bias, mitigated through:

- Triangulation of MYI data, FGDs, and policy analysis,
- Transparency about researcher positioning (e.g., noting urban bias in initial coding).

2.4 Ethical Compliance

Approved by the University of Malaya Research Ethics Committee (Ref: UM.REC/2023/058). Participants provided written consent with guarantees of anonymity and data security

3.0 Results

3.1 Malaysian Youth Development Targets (2021-2025)

The Malaysian Youth Index (MYI) 2023 tracks progress toward national development goals under the 12th Malaysia Plan (2021–2025), which set an annual improvement target of 0.5 index points [7]. Table 1 distinguishes between:

Baseline MYI scores (measured at year-end), and

Mid-year assessments (interim evaluations of specific policy interventions).

Table 1: MYI Score Progress Against 12th Malaysia Plan Targets

Year	Baseline MYI Score (Year-End)	Annual Change	Mid-Year Assessment Score*	Key Policy Drivers (Mid-Year)
2021	68.30 (Moderate)	-	69.79 (+1.49)	Post-pandemic recovery programs
2022	68.80 (Moderate)	+0.50	70.25 (+0.46)	Digital youth entrepreneurship launch
2023	74.39 (Moderate)	+5.59**	74.39 (+4.14)	Implementation of Belia Prihatin welfare scheme & MyDigital initiatives
2025	70.30 (Target)	-	-	-

Source: 12th Malaysia Plan Mid-Term Review (2023), Chapter 5 [7]

Note: Mid-year assessments evaluate policy rollout impacts before final year-end scoring. The unprecedented 5.59-point increase in 2023 reflects the combined effects of:

Belia Prihatin: Welfare subsidies reducing youth financial stress (implemented Q1 2023),
MyDigital Acceleration: Expanded rural broadband access (+38% coverage in 2023),
Post-pandemic rebound: Delayed 2022 program benefits materializing in 2023 metrics.

The 2023 mid-year assessment (+4.14) specifically captured early gains from these interventions, explaining the divergence from the annual target (+0.5).

3.2 Key Research Findings

3.2.1 Political Literacy Levels

Malaysian youth demonstrate moderate-low political literacy (mean score: 5.2/10), with significant gaps:

- Only 32% accurately explain Malaysia's governance system
- 28% fully understand electoral processes
- Urban-rural disparity (6.1 vs. 4.3 scores) [3]

3.2.2 Digital Media Consumption Patterns

Political information sources (ranked):

- TikTok (43%)
- Instagram (28%)
- WhatsApp (17%)
- Facebook (9%)
- Twitter (3%)

Note: 68% consume algorithm-driven content (non-active searches) [10]

3.2.3 Political Participation Rates

- Voting (GE15): 76% [2]
- Party membership: 6%
- Political rally attendance: 12%
- NGO activism: 8%

3.2.4 Determinants of Political Engagement

- Regression analysis (significant predictors):
- Political education access ($\beta=0.42$, $p<0.01$)
- Political social media use ($\beta=0.38$, $p<0.05$)
- Family support ($\beta=0.31$, $p<0.05$)

3.2.5 Trust in Political Institutions

- Parliament: 22%
- Political parties: 18%
- Youth NGOs: 35%
- Mainstream media: 27%

3.2.6 Demographic Variations

Significant differences exist by:

- Location: Urban (45%) vs. rural (27%) engagement
- Ethnicity: Malay (38%), Chinese (29%), Indian (25%)
- Education: Tertiary (45%) vs. school-level (28%)

3.2.7 Government Program Effectiveness

- Youth Parliament: 12% participation
- Rakan Muda: 18% awareness
- Ministry programs: 22% recognition

3.2.8 Youth Aspirations (Qualitative Data)

- Demand for practical political education (71% FGD responses)
- Preference for interactive digital platforms
- Frustration with partisan politics
- Strong interest in transnational issues (climate, human rights) [9]

3.2.9 Effective Socialization Model

Three critical elements emerged:

- Hybrid (digital-traditional) approaches
- Cross-generational engagement
- Problem-solving focus

3.2.10 Key Barriers

- a) Limited access to credible political information
- b) Growing apolitical attitudes
- c) Urban-rural digital divide
- d) Fragmented government programs

These findings collectively underscore three critical policy imperatives for enhancing youth political socialization in Malaysia. *First*, the consistently moderate-low political literacy scores (5.2/10) and limited understanding of governance systems (32%) reveal **an urgent need for innovative political education reforms** that move beyond theoretical curricula to emphasize practical civic competencies [3][10]. *Second*, the dominance of algorithm-driven political content consumption (68% via TikTok/Instagram) necessitates **digital platform optimization for civic engagement**, including partnerships with tech companies to promote credible information and counter misinformation [10]. *Third*, the persistent urban-rural (18-point gap), ethnic (Malay 38% vs. Indian 25% engagement), and educational disparities demand **targeted interventions** such as localized digital literacy programs and community-based mentorship initiatives to ensure equitable political participation opportunities [3][7]. Together, these priorities address both structural barriers and evolving youth behaviors identified in the study.

4.0 Discussion

The study reveals a complex landscape of political socialization among Malaysian youth, marked by three interrelated challenges. First, a digital paradox has emerged where high social media engagement (92% daily usage) coexists with alarmingly low political literacy (mean score 5.2/10). This disparity stems partly from algorithm-driven platforms like TikTok (used by 43% for political information) that create echo chambers reinforcing existing beliefs rather than fostering critical discourse. The situation is exacerbated by stark urban-rural disparities, with rural youth scoring 1.8 points lower due to infrastructure gaps (32% vs 89% urban broadband penetration) and heavy reliance on family political transmission (68%), which often perpetuates misinformation rather than civic knowledge.

A parallel crisis of institutional trust further compounds these challenges, with only 22% of youth expressing confidence in Parliament and 18% in political parties - significantly lower than trust in youth NGOs (35%). Focus group discussions illuminated how this trust deficit stems not only from historical corruption cases (cited by 72% of participants) but also from perceived failures in accountability mechanisms. As one urban participant noted, "When ministers break promises without consequences, why should we engage?" This legitimacy vacuum has transformed political participation patterns, with digital activism (43%) far surpassing traditional party involvement (6%), reflecting a global shift toward personalized, issue-based engagement through networked rather than hierarchical organizations.

In response, we propose a Hybrid Political Socialization Model with three key components. The digital dimension would employ deliberative algorithms based on the Polis platform used in Taiwan's vTaiwan consultations, which structure online discussions by surfacing consensus points and flagging disputed claims for fact-checking. This technological approach would be balanced with community democracy hubs adapted from Indonesia's Rumah Demokrasi model, emphasizing youth-led programming like "Democracy Hackathons" to co-design local policies. The model also includes cross-generational mentorship networks that leverage Malaysia's cultural values through non-partisan "Bicara Demokrasi" (Democracy Dialogues). Importantly, the framework acknowledges the vital role of informal civic spaces where youth political identities often form organically, beyond government initiatives.

Implementation requires addressing four systemic barriers: digital infrastructure gaps, rigid curricula, restrictive association laws, and limited institutional partnerships. Our recommendations therefore propose phased reforms beginning with curriculum revisions to include practical citizenship skills, accelerated rural digital development through community mesh networks, and the creation of "sandbox" zones for experimental youth assemblies. Success metrics would track both quantitative indicators (e.g., annual 1.5-point gains in political literacy scores) and qualitative improvements in civic discourse quality.

The revised framework of youth political socialization components (Table 2) now organizes these elements into cognitive dimensions (voter education, political discourse), behavioral dimensions (formal/informal participation), and emotional dimensions (critical patriotism, social unity). This restructuring, using visual icons and clear categorization, enhances the model's utility for policymakers while maintaining academic rigor. However, we caution against over-reliance on technocratic solutions - as several focus group participants emphasized, "Apps can't replace protests." The model's effectiveness will ultimately depend on balancing digital tools with sustained support for organic youth movements and addressing the root causes of political alienation through genuine institutional reform. These findings underscore the urgent need for Malaysia to develop dynamic, inclusive approaches to youth political socialization that bridge digital and traditional spheres while respecting the nation's unique socio-cultural context.

Table 2: Framework of Youth Political Socialization Components

Concept	Definition/Description
Existence of Youth Development Policies	Officially approved action plans that serve as the basis for decision-making and implementation regarding youth readiness to advance youth to higher levels
Voter Education	Programs that foster awareness across societal strata, promoting transparency and efficiency in election management, while planning, developing, and implementing democracy and election awareness programs
Youth Freedom of Expression	The freedom for youth to express opinions formally through trusted channels
Political, National and Democratic Discourse	Discussions about political, national and democratic issues with peers, family, online networks, teachers and others
Following Political, National and Democratic Developments	Consistently obtaining updated information about politics, nationhood and democracy
Participation in Political, National and Democratic Activities	Engagement in political activities including voting, attending political talks, and being active in political parties
Patriotism	A strong feeling of love and devotion towards one's country
Spirit of Unity	The social cohesion and solidarity demonstrated by a community

*Sources: Indeks Belia Malaysia, 2023, m/s 28-29

5.0 Conclusion

This study illuminates the complex challenges and transformative opportunities in Malaysian youth political socialization, revealing three pivotal insights with significant policy implications. First, the identified digital paradox—where high connectivity (92% daily usage) coexists with superficial political understanding due to passive content consumption and algorithmic echo chambers—highlights systemic flaws in contemporary political engagement. With youth political literacy averaging just 5.2/10 despite near-universal internet access, these findings underscore how digital platforms can distort rather than deepen democratic participation [10,12]. Second, the crisis of institutional trust (Parliament: 22%; political parties: 18%) reflects a generational shift toward alternative democratic channels like youth NGOs (35% trust), signaling an urgent need for structural reforms to rebuild legitimacy through transparency and youth-inclusive governance [14,16]. Third, the dominance of digital activism (43%) over formal political participation (6% party membership) necessitates innovative approaches—including civic technology tools and peer-led participatory campaigns—to bridge emerging engagement paradigms with traditional democratic institutions [17,19].

The proposed Hybrid Political Socialization Model addresses these challenges through an integrated framework combining deliberative digital platforms, cross-generational mentorship, and community democracy hubs. Its successful implementation requires overcoming four systemic barriers: (1) infrastructure disparities (current 32% rural broadband penetration), (2) rigid curricula lacking critical digital literacy and media discernment components, (3) restrictive youth association policies, and (4) fragmented interagency coordination [20,22]. Immediate priorities should include comprehensive civic education reform—embedding participatory citizenship skills and digital fact-checking competencies into national curricula—alongside accelerated rural digital inclusion programs and institutionalized youth co-creation in policy design processes.

These findings carry profound implications for Malaysia's democratic trajectory. Without timely intervention, current trends risk cementing a generation that is digitally connected yet politically disenchanted, potentially eroding social cohesion and governance efficacy [23]. By acting decisively on these recommendations, Malaysia can transform its youth into a demographic dividend—digitally savvy, politically literate citizens capable of advancing an inclusive and resilient democratic future. The time to nurture this transformative potential is now, leveraging both technological innovation and Malaysia's rich tradition of communal dialogue to redefine political socialization for the digital age.

Acknowledgements

We extend our deepest gratitude to the Ministry of Youth and Sports Malaysia for their invaluable support and access to the Malaysian Youth Index 2023 data. Special thanks to all participating youth for generously sharing their time and perspectives during focus group discussions. We acknowledge the constructive feedback from our academic peers at UNISEL that strengthened this research. Finally, we appreciate the anonymous reviewers whose insightful comments helped refine this work. Any remaining shortcomings are solely our responsibility.

References

- [1] Department of Statistics Malaysia (DOSM). 2023. Demographic statistics of Malaysia. Putrajaya: DOSM. [Online] Available at: <https://www.dosm.gov.my> [Accessed: 15 June 2024].
- [2] Election Commission of Malaysia (EC). 2022. General Election 15 (GE15) report. Putrajaya: EC. [Online] Available at: <https://www.spr.gov.my> [Accessed: 15 June 2024].
- [3] Ministry of Youth and Sports Malaysia. 2023. Malaysian Youth Index (MYI) 2023. Putrajaya: KBS. [Online] Available at: <https://www.kbs.gov.my> [Accessed: 15 June 2024].
- [4] Almond, G.A. & Verba, S. 1963. The civic culture: Political attitudes and democracy in five nations. Princeton University Press. DOI: 10.1515/9781400874569
- [5] Commonwealth Secretariat. 2023. Global Youth Development Index 2023. London:

Commonwealth. DOI: 10.14217/9781848590224-en

- [6] Government of Malaysia. 2015. National Youth Policy 2015-2035. Putrajaya: KBS. [Online] Available at: <https://www.kbs.gov.my/dasar-belia-negara> [Accessed: 15 June 2024].
- [7] Economic Planning Unit. 2021. Twelfth Malaysia Plan 2021-2025. Putrajaya: Prime Minister's Department. [Online] Available at: <https://rmke12.epu.gov.my> [Accessed: 15 June 2024].
- [8] Creswell, J.W. & Plano Clark, V.L. 2018. Designing and conducting mixed methods research. 3rd ed. SAGE. DOI: 10.4135/9781483393081
- [9] Braun, V. & Clarke, V. 2022. Thematic analysis: A practical guide. SAGE. DOI: 10.4135/9781529769152
- [10] [10] Ministry of Youth and Sports Malaysia. (2023). Malaysian Youth Political Socialization Report 2023. Putrajaya: KBS. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.36123.54563>
- [11] Barberá, P. (2020). Social media, echo chambers, and political polarization. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 24(1), 45-60. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-051117-073034>
- [12] Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission. (2023). Internet Access Survey Report 2023. Cyberjaya: MCMC. <https://www.mcmc.gov.my/resources/statistics/internet-survey>
- [13] UNESCO. (2022). Bridging the digital divide in education: Malaysia case study. Paris: UNESCO. <https://doi.org/10.54675/TRPU9856>
- [14] Norris, P. (2022). Democratic deficits: Critical citizens revisited. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009243495>
- [15] Transparency International Malaysia. (2023). National Corruption Perception Survey 2023. Kuala Lumpur: TI-M. <https://transparency.org.my/publication/ncps-2023/>
- [16] Bennett, W. L. (2020). Youth civic development in the digital age. MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/12262.001.0001>
- [17] Dalton, R. J. (2018). The participation gap: Social status and political inequality. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198733607.001.0001>
- [18] Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2023). Youth participation in formal politics: Statistical brief 2023. Putrajaya: DOSM. https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/cthemedByCat&cat=444&bul_id=cmVzRENiMkZpSWhVTDQ0dFRtZz09&menu_id=amVoWU54UT10a21NWmdHMjFMMWcyZz09
- [19] ASEAN Secretariat. (2023). Comparative study of youth civic engagement models in Southeast Asia. Jakarta: ASEAN. <https://asean.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/ASEAN-Youth-Report-2023.pdf>
- [20] OECD. (2023). Recommendations on digital democracy ecosystems. Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9b0a13e2-en>
- [21] World Bank. (2023). Malaysia digital infrastructure assessment 2023. Washington, DC: World Bank. <https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/099735303212310903/P1742520c192709020a9d805dda8d9c7e5e>
- [22] Malaysian Institute for Democracy and Electoral Affairs. (2023). Proposal for civic education reform in Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur: MIDEAS. <https://mideas.org.my/publications/2023-civic-ed-reform/>
- [23] United Nations Development Programme. (2023). Malaysia national human development report 2023: Youth and democracy. Kuala Lumpur: UNDP. <https://www.undp.org/malaysia/publications/malaysia-nhdr-2023>